

Bihar Skill Development Mission (BSDM)
Labour Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar

Proceeding of the Meeting of the Technical Evaluation Committee of RFP for Selection of Chartered Accountant Firm for appointment as Financial Management and Accounting Support Consultant (FMASC) in Bihar Skill Development Mission (BSDM), Govt. of Bihar vide Ref. No. BSDM/FMAS RFP-192/2024-01 dated 26.09.2024.

Date of Meeting: 04.12.2024

Venue: Chamber of Secretary, Labour Resources Department.

Chaired by: Shri Deepak Anand, Secretary, Labour Resources Department cum CEO, BSDM

Ref: BSDM Letter No. BSDM/FMAS RFP-192/2024-3704 dated 02.02.2024.

Other Participants of the Meeting:

1. Shri Rajeev Ranjan, ACEO, BSDM
2. Shri Suresh Kumar Singh, Mission Director, BSDM
3. Shri Atul Suman, Mission Manager (F&A), BSDM
4. Shri Santosh Kumar Srivastava, Asst. Internal Financial Advisor, LRD
5. Shri Sriranga Vishnu, Representative, CIMP Patna

RFP Name: RFP for Selection of CA Firm for appointment as Financial Management and Accounting Support Consultant (FMASC) in BSDM vide Ref. No. BSDM/FMAS RFP-192/2024-01 dated 26.09.2024.

Submission of proposals were invited through electronic mode only, and the bidder/s were to submit their Bid on e-Procurement platform at www.eproc2.bihar.gov.in.

In response to the above, it is reflected on E-proc 2 portal of Govt. of Bihar, that following **Five Chartered Accountant (CA) Firms** have submitted their proposal till the final stipulated time for uploading of offer/bid i.e. up to 03.00 P.M on 04th November 2024.

1. M/s. Komandoor & Co LLP
2. M/s. KRA & Co
3. M/s. P. Puneet and Co
4. M/s. Satendra Gupta and Associates
5. M/s. Thakur Bhuwanesh & Associates

The meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee was scheduled on 04th December 2024 from 11.30 AM wherein the technical documents of all the above bidders were evaluated against Minimum Eligibility Criteria of Notice Inviting Request for Proposal of the RFP and the discussed evaluation points, actionable remarks with recommendation submitted unanimously by the committee are as below:

The individual bidder wise remarks are unanimously recorded as below:

1. M/s. Komandoor & Co LLP

- In response to the RFP M/s. Komandoor & Co LLP had submitted its proposal.
- The clause “f” of Minimum Eligibility Criteria states that there should be at-least three similar assignments which must be related to **agreement/work order executed on or after 01.04.2019**, completed or ongoing and each assignment must have **annual contract value of minimum Rs. 30 lakhs**.
- **Out of total Three Assignments mentioned under Form Tech 2B, the Assignment of “Food Corporation of INDIA (FCI)” is not meeting the clause “f” of Minimum Eligibility Criteria as annual contact value is less than Rs. 30 Lakh and thus the total Eligible Assignments meeting the criteria is only two, less than the minimum Three required Assignments, as per clause “f” of Minimum Eligibility Criteria.**

Accordingly, the Technical Evaluation Committee rejects the bid submitted by M/s. Komandoor & Co LLP for further evaluation.

2. M/s. KRA & Co

- In response to the RFP M/s. KRA & Co had submitted its proposal.
- **Out of total Twenty-Six Assignments mentioned under Form Tech 2B, Five Assignments are meeting the clause “f” of Minimum Eligibility Criteria.**
- The Technical Bid submitted by the bidder was responsive by the technical evaluation committee in meeting the Minimum Eligibility Criteria and thus found eligible for further technical evaluation against the Clause 8 (Technical Evaluation Score Matrix) of Section 2 of the RFP.

3. M/s. P. Puneet and Co

- In response to the RFP M/s. P. Puneet and Co had submitted its proposal.
- **Out of total Thirty Assignments mentioned under Form Tech 2B, Three Assignments are meeting the clause “f” of Minimum Eligibility Criteria.**
- The Technical Bid submitted by the bidder was responsive by the technical evaluation committee in meeting the Minimum Eligibility Criteria and thus found eligible for further technical evaluation against the Clause 8 (Technical Evaluation Score Matrix) of Section 2 of the RFP.



4. M/s. Satendra Gupta and Associates

- In response to the RFP M/s. Satendra Gupta and Associates had submitted its proposal.
- The clause “f” of Minimum Eligibility Criteria states that there should be at-least three similar assignments which must be related to **agreement/work order executed on or after 01.04.2019**, completed or ongoing and each assignment must have **annual contract value of minimum Rs. 30 lakhs**.
- **Out of total Three Assignments mentioned under Form Tech 2B, the Assignment of “West Bengal State Watershed Development Agency” is not meeting the clause “f” of Minimum Eligibility Criteria as annual contact value is less than Rs. 30 Lakh and thus the total Eligible Assignments meeting the criteria is only two, less than the minimum Three required Assignments, as per clause “f” of Minimum Eligibility Criteria.**

Accordingly, the Technical Evaluation Committee rejects the bid submitted by M/s. Satendra Gupta and Associates for further evaluation.

5. M/s. Thakur Bhuwanesh & Associates

- In response to the RFP M/s. Thakur Bhuwanesh & Associates had submitted its proposal.
- **Out of total Three Assignments mentioned under Form Tech 2B, all the Three Assignments are meeting the clause “f” of Minimum Eligibility Criteria.**
- The Technical Bid submitted by the bidder was responsive by the technical evaluation committee in meeting the Minimum Eligibility Criteria and thus found eligible for further technical evaluation against the Clause 8 (Technical Evaluation Score Matrix) of Section 2 of the RFP.


The Technical Bid submitted by three CA Firms (bidders) were found responsive by the technical evaluation committee in meeting the Minimum Eligibility Criteria and thus found eligible for further technical evaluation against the Clause 8 (Technical Evaluation Score Matrix) of Section 2 of the RFP. The Evaluation Sheet against Minimum Eligibility Criteria of the RFP is attached as **Annexure I** of this proceeding.

The proposals of all the three eligible CA Firms were evaluated by the technical evaluation committee against the Technical Evaluation Score Matrix and the marks obtained by each of the CA firms is tabulated as below:


1. M/s. KRA & Co: 87 Marks
2. M/s. P. Puneet and Co: 77 Marks
3. M/s. Thakur Bhuwanesh & Associates: 84 Marks

The Technical Evaluation Score Matrix is attached as **Annexure II** of this proceeding.

Accordingly, as all the three eligible bidders viz (i) M/s. KRA & Co (ii) M/s. P. Puneet and Co and (iii) M/s. Thakur Bhuwanesh & Associates, have obtained more than 70 marks, the technical evaluation committee unanimously recommends all the above three CA firms as technically qualified for opening of their financial bid under Least Cost System as per Clause 9.1 of the RFP.


04/12/2024

Sriranga Vishnu
Representative
CIMP, Patna


4.12.24

Santosh Kumar Srivastava
Internal Financial Advisor
Labour Resources Department


04.12.24

Atul Suman
Mission Manager (F&A)
BSDM


04/12/24

Suresh Kumar Singh
Mission Director
BSDM


02/12/24

Rajeev Ranjan
ACEO, BSDM


04/12/24

Deepak Anand
CEO, BSDM